

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/842,313 04/24/2001 Kevin D. Weller VISAP064 5667 22434 7590 10/04/2006 **EXAMINER** BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP REAGAN, JAMES A P.O. BOX 70250 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 3621

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/842,313	WELLER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		James A. Reagan	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>une 2006</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-21 and 32-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-21 and 32-40 is/are rejected.		
_			
′=	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
8)[claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
11)[The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
222 and analysis detailed embed detail for a list of the certified copies flot received.			
Attachmen	tis)		
1) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/842,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is in reply to the response to the amendment filed on 16 June 2006.

2. Claims 7-21 and 32-40 are pending and have been examined.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

3. Referring to the previous Office action, Examiner has cited relevant portions of the references as a means to illustrate the systems as taught by the prior art. As a means of providing further clarification as to what is taught by the references used in the first Office action, Examiner has expanded the teachings for comprehensibility while maintaining the same grounds of rejection of the claims, except as noted above in the section labeled "Status of Claims." This information is intended to assist in illuminating the teachings of the references while providing evidence that establishes further support for the rejections of the claims.

Applicant argues that the prior art of record does not disclose that it is the issuer of the account that takes responsibility for verifying the identity of the customer as the owner of the account during a registration process. The Examiner disagrees and points to Barnes, at least column 15, lines 24+ wherein Barnes discloses the initialization of the bank/ACH (third party and issuer of the bank account) which includes initializing passwords, checking certificate authorizations, and registering the buyer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-21 and 32-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al.
 (US 5,970,475 A) in view of O'Mahony et al. "Electronic Payment Systems" (1997).

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Claims 7-13, 16, 18-21, and 32-40:

Barnes, in at least Figures 1-4 and 9 as well as column 3, line 39 to column 4, line 4 as well as other related and applicable text discloses an online merchant/consumer purchasing system with payment verification that includes customer password and account verification, servers, databases, enrollment, automated payments, and security procedures to effect electronic commerce. Barnes does not involve a trusted party for the purposes of authentication during a transaction. O'Mahony, however, in at least Chapter Four discusses in great detail three and four party payment systems wherein a trusted party verifies consumers and merchant s and authenticates financial transactions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Barnes' online purchasing and bill-paying system with

O'Mahony's Electronic Payment Systems because it provides a user-friendly and secure environment for facilitation electronic commerce.

6. Claims 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes/O'Mahony in view of Asay et al. (US 5,903,882 A).

Claims 14, 15, and 17:

Barnes/O'Mahony disclose the online purchasing and bill-paying system as shown above. Barnes/O'Mahony do not disclose digital signatures and hashes. Asay, however, in at lest column 38, lines 33-44 does. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine Barnes' online purchasing and bill-paying system with use of digital signature technology because it would increase the security of the online transaction.

Conclusion

- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3621

571.272.6779.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **571.272.6710.** The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **ANDREW J. FISCHER** can be reached at

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and

Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

JAMES A. REAGAN

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3621

20 September 2006

JAMES A. REAGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER